



20 July 2018

**FOR** 

THE HEAD OF PROCURING ENTITY (HOPE)

**THRU** 

ALLAN R. GARCIA

President and Chief Executive Officer

**FROM** 

JANE THERES

**IGCOS** BAC Chairperson

SUBJECT:

**APCPI 2017 - Autochecker Tool** 

This is to respectfully submit the Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator (APCPI) Self-Assessment Form for CY 2017 as amended.

This was based on the new Autochecker Tool provided by the GPPB - Technical Service Office.

For consideration and approval of the Board.



# ANNEX A GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator (APCPI) Self-Assessment Form

Name of Agency: JOHN HAY MANAGEMENT CORPORATION	Name of Evaluator:
Date of Self Assessment:	Position:

No	p.	Assessment Conditions	Agency Score	APCPI Rating*	Comments/Findings to the	Supporting Information/Documentation
PIL	LLA	R I. LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK			Indicators and SubIndicators	(Not to be Included in the Evaluation
Ind	dica	tor 1. Competitive Bidding as Default Method of Procurement	nt			
1 1.a	a 1	Percentage of competitive bidding and limited source bidding contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	60.06%	0.00		PMRs
2 1.b	0 1	Percentage of competitive bidding and limited source bidding contracts in terms of volume of total procurement	10.91%	0.00		PMRs
				No. of the second		
Inc	_	tor 2. Limited Use of Alternative Methods of Procurement				
3 2.a	a	Percentage of shopping contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	1.58%	3.00		PMRs
4 2.b	0	Percentage of negotiated contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	37.46%	0.00		PMRs
2.0		Percentage of direct contracting in terms of amount of total procurement	0.90%	3.00		PMRs
2.0	<b>D</b> 1	Percentage of repeat order contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	0.00%	3.00		PMRs
7 2.e	е	Compliance with Repeat Order procedures	n/a	n/a		Procurement documents relative to conduct of Repeat Order
3 2.f	f	Compliance with Limited Source Bidding procedures	n/a	n/a		Procurement documents relative to conduct of Limited Source Bidding
	_					
Inc	dica	ator 3. Competitiveness of the Bidding Process				T
9 3.a	a	Average number of entities who acquired bidding documents	2.67	0.00		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records
0 3.Ł	b	Average number of bidders who submitted bids	2.00	1.00		Abstract of Bids or other agency records
1 3.0	c	Average number of bidders who passed eligibility stage	1.67	1.00		Abstract of Bids or other agency records
2 3.0	d	Sufficiency of period to prepare bids	Fully Compliant	3.00		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records
3 3.€	e i	Use of proper and effective procurement documentation and technical specifications/requirements	Fully Compliant	3.00		Cost Benefit Analysis, Work Plans, Technical Specifications included in bidding documents
_						
DII	11 A	ا R II. AGENCY INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK AND MANAGEME	Average I	1.55	La company of the contract of	
-	_	ator 4. Presence of Procurement Organizations				
4 4.8	T	Creation of Bids and Awards Committee(s)	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify copy of Order creating BAC; Organizational Chart; and Certification of Training
5 4.1	b	Presence of a BAC Secretariat or Procurement Unit	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify copy of Order creating BAC Secretariat; Organizational Chart; and Certification of Training
	_					
Inc	dica	ator 5. Procurement Planning and Implementation				7
6 5.		An approved APP that includes all types of procurement	Compliant	3.00		Copy of APP and its supplements (if any)
7 5.1	h	Preparation of Annual Procurement Plan for Common-Use Supplies and Equipment (APP-CSE) and Procurement of Common-Use Supplies and Equipment from the Procurement Service	Fully Compliant	3.00		APP, APP-CSE, PMR
8 5.0	c	Existing Green Specifications for GPPB-identified non-CSE items are adopted	Compliant	3.00		ITBs and/or RFQs clearly indicate the use of green technical specifications for the procurement activity
-						
9 6.a		Percentage of bid opportunities posted by the PhilGEPS-	96.00%	3.00		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records
0 6.1	-	registered Agency Percentage of contract award information posted by the	100.00%	3.00		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records
		PhilGEPS-registered Agency			<b></b>	

# ANNEX A GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator (APCPI) Self-Assessment Form

Name of Assess IOUN VAN ASSAURT CORPORATION	
Name of Agency: JOHN HAY MANAGEMENT CORPORATION	Name of Evaluator:
Date of Self Assessment:	Position:

	Assessment Conditions	Agency Score	APCPI Rating*	Comments/Findings to the Indicators and SubIndicators	Supporting Information/Documentation (Not to be Included in the Evaluation
India	cator 7. System for Disseminating and Monitoring Procurement	nt Information			111
7.a	Presence of website that provides up-to-date procurement information easily accessible at no cost	Fully Compliant	3.00	-	Identify specific procurement-related portion in the agency website and specif website links
7.b	Preparation of Procurement Monitoring Reports using the GPPB-prescribed format, submission to the GPPB, and posting in agency website	Substantially Compliant	2.00		Copy of PMR and received copy that it was submitted to GPPB
_		Average II	2.90		
-	AR III. PROCUREMENT OPERATIONS AND MARKET PRACTICES				
India	cator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes				T
8.a	Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs	88.12%	3.00		APP (including Supplemental amendment if any) and PMRs
8.b	Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding	100.00%	3.00		APP(including Supplemental amendment if any)and PMRs
8.c	Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe	Fully Compliant	3.00		Agency Procedures/Systems for the conduct of needs analysis or market research, monitoring of timely delivery or goods, works, or services  Contracts with amendments and variation to order amount to 10% or less
Indi	cator 9 Compliance with Procurement Timeframes	L			1
	Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of				I
9.a	action to procure goods	100.00%	3.00		PMRs
9.b	Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of	100.00%	3.00		PMRs
_	action to procure infrastructure projects  Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of				
9.c	action to procure consulting services	100.00%	3.00		PMRs
India	cator 10. Capacity Building for Government Personnel and Pri	vata Sector Part	icinants		
mui	To the state of th	Vate Sector Fair	Cipalits		Samples of forms used to evaluating
10.a	There is a system within the procuring entity to evaluate the	Fully Compliant	3.00		Samples of forms used to evaluating procurement performance on top of or incorporated within the regular assessment for Procurement Personnel
	There is a system within the procuring entity to evaluate the performance of procurement personnel on a regular basis	Fully			procurement performance on top of or incorporated within the regular assessment for Procurement Personnel Ask for copies of Office Orders, training
10.a	There is a system within the procuring entity to evaluate the performance of procurement personnel on a regular basis  Percentage of participation of procurement staff in	Fully Compliant	3.00		procurement performance on top of or incorporated within the regular assessment for Procurement Personnel Ask for copies of Office Orders, training modules, list of participants, schedules or
10.a 10.b	There is a system within the procuring entity to evaluate the performance of procurement personnel on a regular basis  Percentage of participation of procurement staff in procurement training and/or professionalization program  The procuring entity has open dialogue with private sector and ensures access to the procurement opportunities of the procuring entity	Fully Compliant 75.00% Compliant	1.00		procurement performance on top of or incorporated within the regular assessment for Procurement Personnel Ask for copies of Office Orders, training modules, list of participants, schedules o actual training conducted  Ask for copies of documentation of
10.a 10.b	There is a system within the procuring entity to evaluate the performance of procurement personnel on a regular basis  Percentage of participation of procurement staff in procurement training and/or professionalization program  The procuring entity has open dialogue with private sector and ensures access to the procurement opportunities of the	Fully Compliant 75.00% Compliant	1.00		procurement performance on top of or incorporated within the regular assessment for Procurement Personnel Ask for copies of Office Orders, training modules, list of participants, schedules or actual training conducted  Ask for copies of documentation of activities for bidders
10.a 10.b	There is a system within the procuring entity to evaluate the performance of procurement personnel on a regular basis  Percentage of participation of procurement staff in procurement training and/or professionalization program  The procuring entity has open dialogue with private sector and ensures access to the procurement opportunities of the procuring entity  cator 11. Management of Procurement and Contract Manager	Fully Compliant 75.00% Compliant	1.00		procurement performance on top of or incorporated within the regular assessment for Procurement Personnel Ask for copies of Office Orders, training modules, list of participants, schedules o actual training conducted  Ask for copies of documentation of
10.a 10.b 10.c	There is a system within the procuring entity to evaluate the performance of procurement personnel on a regular basis  Percentage of participation of procurement staff in procurement training and/or professionalization program  The procuring entity has open dialogue with private sector and ensures access to the procurement opportunities of the procuring entity  Cator 11. Management of Procurement and Contract Manager  The BAC Secretariat has a system for keeping and	Fully Compliant 75.00%  Compliant  ment Records	3.00 1.00 3.00		procurement performance on top of or incorporated within the regular assessment for Procurement Personnel Ask for copies of Office Orders, training modules, list of participants, schedules of actual training conducted.  Ask for copies of documentation of activities for bidders.  Verify actual procurement records and time it took to retrieve records (should be no more than two hours).  Refer to Section 4.1 of User's Manual for list of procurement-related documents for
10.a 10.b 10.c	There is a system within the procuring entity to evaluate the performance of procurement personnel on a regular basis  Percentage of participation of procurement staff in procurement training and/or professionalization program  The procuring entity has open dialogue with private sector and ensures access to the procurement opportunities of the procuring entity  Cator 11. Management of Procurement and Contract Manager  The BAC Secretariat has a system for keeping and maintaining procurement records  Implementing Units has and is implementing a system for keeping and maintaining complete and easily retrievable contract management records	Fully Compliant  75.00%  Compliant  ment Records  Fully Compliant  Fully	3.00		procurement performance on top of or incorporated within the regular assessment for Procurement Personnel Ask for copies of Office Orders, training modules, list of participants, schedules of actual training conducted.  Ask for copies of documentation of activities for bidders.  Verify actual procurement records and time it took to retrieve records (should be no more than two hours).  Refer to Section 4.1 of User's Manual for list of procurement-related documents for record-keeping and maintenance.  Verify actual contract management records and time it took to retrieve records.
10.a 10.b 10.c	There is a system within the procuring entity to evaluate the performance of procurement personnel on a regular basis  Percentage of participation of procurement staff in procurement training and/or professionalization program  The procuring entity has open dialogue with private sector and ensures access to the procurement opportunities of the procuring entity  cator 11. Management of Procurement and Contract Manager  The BAC Secretariat has a system for keeping and maintaining procurement records  Implementing Units has and is implementing a system for keeping and maintaining complete and easily retrievable	Fully Compliant  75.00%  Compliant  ment Records  Fully Compliant  Fully	3.00		procurement performance on top of or incorporated within the regular assessment for Procurement Personnel Ask for copies of Office Orders, training modules, list of participants, schedules cactual training conducted  Ask for copies of documentation of activities for bidders  Verify actual procurement records and time it took to retrieve records (should be no more than two hours)  Refer to Section 4.1 of User's Manual for list of procurement-related documents for record-keeping and maintenance.  Verify actual contract management records and time it took to retrieve records.
10.a 10.b 10.c	There is a system within the procuring entity to evaluate the performance of procurement personnel on a regular basis  Percentage of participation of procurement staff in procurement training and/or professionalization program  The procuring entity has open dialogue with private sector and ensures access to the procurement opportunities of the procuring entity  Cator 11. Management of Procurement and Contract Manager  The BAC Secretariat has a system for keeping and maintaining procurement records  Implementing Units has and is implementing a system for keeping and maintaining complete and easily retrievable contract management records  Cator 12. Contract Management Procedures  Agency has defined procedures or standards in such areas as	Fully Compliant  75.00%  Compliant  ment Records  Fully Compliant  Fully	3.00		procurement performance on top of or incorporated within the regular assessment for Procurement Personnel Ask for copies of Office Orders, training modules, list of participants, schedules cactual training conducted  Ask for copies of documentation of activities for bidders  Verify actual procurement records and time it took to retrieve records (should be no more than two hours)  Refer to Section 4.1 of User's Manual for list of procurement-related documents for record-keeping and maintenance.  Verify actual contract management records and time it took to retrieve records.
10.a 10.b 10.c India 11.a 11.b India	There is a system within the procuring entity to evaluate the performance of procurement personnel on a regular basis  Percentage of participation of procurement staff in procurement training and/or professionalization program  The procuring entity has open dialogue with private sector and ensures access to the procurement opportunities of the procuring entity  Cator 11. Management of Procurement and Contract Manager  The BAC Secretariat has a system for keeping and maintaining procurement records  Implementing Units has and is implementing a system for keeping and maintaining complete and easily retrievable contract management records  Cator 12. Contract Management Procedures  Agency has defined procedures or standards in such areas as quality control, acceptance and inspection, supervision of	Fully Compliant  75.00%  Compliant  ment Records  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant	3.00 1.00 3.00 3.00		procurement performance on top of or incorporated within the regular assessment for Procurement Personnel Ask for copies of Office Orders, training modules, list of participants, schedules cactual training conducted  Ask for copies of documentation of activities for bidders  Verify actual procurement records and time it took to retrieve records (should be no more than two hours)  Refer to Section 4.1 of User's Manual for list of procurement-related documents frecord-keeping and maintenance.  Verify actual contract management records and time it took to retrieve records hould be no more than two hours  Verify copies of written procedures for quality control, acceptance and inspections.

# ANNEX A GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator (APCPI) Self-Assessment Form

Name of Agency: JOHN HAY MANAGEMENT CORPORATION	Name of Evaluator:
Date of Self Assessment:	Position:

	No.	Assessment Conditions	Agency Score	APCPI Rating*	Comments/Findings to the Indicators and SubIndicators	Supporting Information/Documentation (Not to be Included in the Evaluation
	PILLA	AR IV. INTEGRITY AND TRANSPARENCY OF AGENCY PROCUREN	MENT SYSTEM		maicators and Submarcators	(NOT to be included in the Evaluation
	-	cator 13. Observer Participation in Public Bidding				
37	13.a	Observers are invited to attend stages of procurement as prescribed in the IRR	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify copies of Invitation Letters to CSOs and professional associations and COA (List and average number of CSOs and PAs invited shall be noted.)
LS						
	Indic	ator 14. Internal and External Audit of Procurement Activities	3			
38	14.a	Creation and operation of Internal Audit Unit (IAU) that performs specialized procurement audits	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify copy of Order or show actual organizational chart showing IAU, auidt reports, action plans and IAU recommendations
39	14.h	Audit Reports on procurement related transactions	Above 90- 100% compliance	3.00		Verify COA Annual Audit Report on Action on Prior Year's Audit Recommendations
	indic	ator 15. Capacity to Handle Procurement Related Complaints				
40		The Procuring Entity has an efficient procurement complaints system and has the capacity to comply with procedural requirements	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify copies of BAC resolutions on Motion for Reconsiderations, Protests and Complaints; Office Orders adopting mesures to address procurement-related complaints
	Indic	ator 16. Anti-Corruption Programs Related to Procurement				
41	16.a	Agency has a specific anti-corruption program/s related to procurement	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify documentation of anti-corruption program
			Average IV	2.50		
	GRAI	ND TOTAL (Avarege I + Average II + Average III + Average IV /	4)	2.45		

#### Summary of APCPI Scores by Pillar

		APCPI Pillars	Ideal Rating	Agency Rating
Pillar	1	Legislative and Regulatory Framework	3.00	1.55
Pillar	H	Agency Insitutional Framework and Management Capacity	3.00	2.90
Pillar	m	Procurement Operations and Market Practices	3.00	2.85
Pillar	IV	Integrity and Transparency of Agency Procurement Systems	3.00	2.50
		Total (Pillar I+Pillar II+Pillar III+ PillarIV)/4	3.00	2.45



Back to "how to fill up"

## ANNEX B GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD CONSOLIDATED PROCUREMENT MONITORING REPORT

Name of Agency: JOHN HAY MANAGEMENT CORPORATION

Period Covered: CY 2017

	Total Amount of Approved APP	Total Number of Procurement Activities	No. of Contracts Awarded	Total Amount of Contracts Awarded	No. of Failed Biddings	Total No. of Entities who Acquired Bid Docs	Total No. of Bidders who Submitted Bids	Total No. of Bidders who passed Eligibility Stage	No. of Bid Opportunities Posted at PhilGEPS	No. of Contract Award Posted at PhilGEPS	Total No. Of Contracts that incurred negative slippage	Total No. of contracts with amendments to order or variation orders	No. of Contracts Awarded within prescribed timeframes
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6	Column 7	Column 8	Column 9	Column 10	Column 11	Column 12	Column 13	Column 14
1. Public Bidding*													
1.1. Goods	3,521,594.11	3	3	3,488,839.68	0	10	7	7	3	3	0	0	3
1.2. Works	10,787,093.52	2	2	9,546,535.97	0	5	4	2	2	2	0	0	2
1.3. Consulting Services	475,200.00	1	1	469,994.00	0	11	1	1	1	1	0	0	1
Sub-Total	14,783,887.63	6	6	13,505,369.65	0	16	12	10	6	6	0	0	6
2. Alternative Modes					Property of the								
2.1.1 Shopping (52.1 a above 50K)	377,977.79	2	2	306,595.29			A Visit Park Service			0			
2.1.2 Shopping (52.1 b above 50K)	50,000.00	1	1	49,600.00				See Specific	1	1		Contract Contract	
2.1.3 Other Shopping	0.00	0	0	0.00				The Part of		0			
2.2.1 Direct Contracting (above 50K)	250,000.00	1	1	202,600.00						1			
2.2.2 Direct Contracting (50K or less)	0.00	0	0	0.00									
2.3.1 Repeat Order (above 50K)	0.00	0	0	0.00						0			ARTHUR ENGINEERS
2.3.2 Repeat Order (50K or less)	0.00	0	0	0.00					And dispersed and the			Section 1	
2.4. Limited Source Bidding	0.00	0	0	0.00					0	0			
2.5.1 Negotiation (Common-Use Supplies)	291,562.57	3	3	291,562.57									
2.5.2 Negotiation (Recognized Government Printers)	0.00	0	0	0.00		A Cartalian							
2.5.3 Negotiation (TFB 53.1)	1,980,000.00	2	2	1,709,650.00					2	2			
2.5.4 Negotiation (SVP 53.9 above 50K)	4,112,544.00	16	17	3,411,837.68			State of the State of		15	17			
2.5.5 Other Negotiated Procurement (Others above 5CK)	3,687,700.00	15	15	3,050,836.93						15			<b>新国籍</b>
2.5.6 Other Negotiated Procurement (50K or less)	316,289.00	11	11	251,098.00						0			是被加州
Sub-Total Sub-Total	11,066,073.36	51	52	9,273,780.47					18	36			
3. Foreign Funded Procurement**			reference to the same										
3.1. Publicly-Bid	0.00	0	0	0.00		0	0	0					Ton Street
3.2. Alternative Modes	0.00	0	0	0.00		0	0	0			and the state of		
Sub-Total	0.00	0	0	0.00				THE PARTY OF		THE REAL PROPERTY.			
4. Others, specify:	1	T						Transfer and					
TATOT	25,849,960.99	57	58	22,779,150.12			and the same of th						

<sup>\*</sup> Should include foreign-funded publicly-bid projects per procurement type

<sup>\*\*</sup> All procurement using Foreign Funds excluding National Competitive Bidding (NCB) contracts; conversion to peac will be at BSP rates at the time the bids/quotations were submitted

	f Posno		
name o	f Respo	ondent: ALLAN R. GARCIA Position: President	and CEO
		t a check ( $\checkmark$ ) mark inside the box beside each condition/requirement met as provided below and then fill in the corre hat is asked. Please note that all questions must be answered completely.	sponding blank
1. Do yo	ou have	e an approved APP that includes all types of procurement, given the following conditions? (5a)	
	7	Agency prepares APP using the prescribed format	
		Approved APP is posted at the Procuring Entity's Website	
		please provide link: <a href="http://jhmc.com.ph/transparency-seal/">http://jhmc.com.ph/transparency-seal/</a> under Section VII	-
	~	Submission of the approved APP to the GPPB within the prescribed deadline	
		please provide submission date: 22-Feb-17	
		pare an Annual Procurement Plan for Common-Use Supplies and Equipment (APP-CSE) and Common-Use Supplies and Equipment from the Procurement Service? (5b)	
	1	Agency prepares APP-CSE using prescribed format	
	~	Submission of the APP-CSE within the period prescribed by the Department of Budget and Management in	
		its Guidelines for the Preparation of Annual Budget Execution Plans issued annually please provide submission date: Feb-17	
	$\leq$	Proof of actual procurement of Common-Use Supplies and Equipment from DBM-PS	
3. In the	conduc	uct of procurement activities using Repeat Order, which of these conditions is/are met? (2e)	
		Original contract awarded through competitive bidding	
		The goods under the original contract must be quantifiable, divisible and consisting of at least four (4) units per item	
		The unit price is the same or lower than the original contract awarded through competitive bidding which is advantageous to the government after price verification	
		The quantity of each item in the original contract should not exceed 25%	
		Modality was used within 6 months from the contract effectivity date stated in the NTP arising from the original contract, provided that there has been a partial delivery, inspection and acceptance of the goods within the same period	
4. In the	conduc	act of procurement activities using Limited Source Bidding (LSB), which of these conditions is/are met? (2f)	
		Upon recommendation by the BAC, the HOPE issues a Certification resorting to LSB as the proper modality	
		Preparation and Issuance of a List of Pre-Selected Suppliers/Consultants by the PE or an identified relevant government authority	
		Transmittal of the Pre-Selected List by the HOPE to the GPPB	
		Within 7cd from the receipt of the acknowledgement letter of the list by the GPPB, the PE posts the procurement opportunity at the PhilGEPS website, agency website, if available and at any conspicuous place within the agency	
5. In giv	ing you	ur prospective bidders sufficient period to prepare their bids, which of these conditions is/are met? (3d)	
	~	Bidding documents are available at the time of advertisement/posting at the PhilGEPS website or Agency website;	
	~	Supplemental bid bulletins are issued at least seven (7) calendar days before bid opening;	
		Minutes of pre-bid conference are readily available within five (5) days.	

6. Do you prepare proper and effective procurement documentation and technical specifications/requirements, given the the following conditions? (3e)

✓	documents based on relevant cha	aracte	nd complete Purchase Requests, Terms of Reference, and other ristics, functionality and/or performance requirements, as required ommencement of the procurement activity
$\checkmark$	No reference to brand names, exc	cept fo	or items/parts that are compatible with the existing fleet or equipment
7	Bidding Documents and Requests Agency website, if applicable, and		roposal/Quotation are posted at the PhilGEPS website, inspicuous places
7. In creating yo	ur BAC and BAC Secretariat which	of the	ese conditions is/are present?
For BAC: (4a)			
✓	Office Order creating the Bids and please provide Office Order No		rds Committee oard Resolution No. 2017-0123-017
~	There are at least five (5) membe		
	please provide members and their	ir resp	
	Name/s		Date of RA 9184-related training
	ane Theresa G. Tabalingcos		June 7 to 9, 2017
	dobby V. Akia dsel U. Colcol		April 6 to 7, 2017
-			April 6 to 7, 2017
	aldy A. Bello		June 29 to July 1, 2016
-	Mary Ellen S. Cabuhat		April 6 to 7, 2017
F			
G			
✓	Members of BAC meet qualification	ons	
$\overline{\mathbf{Y}}$	Majority of the members of BAC a	are tra	ined on R.A. 9184
For BAC Secr	retariat: (4h)		
TOT BAC GECT	etariat. (4b)		
	Office Order creating of Rids and	Awar	ds Committee Secretariat or designing Procurement Unit to
	act as BAC Secretariat	Await	as committee occretariat of designing 1 focurement office
		_	
	please provide Office Order No	).: <u>B</u>	pard Resolution No. 2017-0123-017
$\checkmark$	The Head of the BAC Secretariat	meets	the minimum qualifications
	please provide name of BAC S	ec He	MARK JASON B. ADVIENTO
$   \overline{\checkmark} $	Majority of the members of BAC Splease provide training date:		ariat are trained on R.A. 9184 ril 6 to 7, 2017
8. Have you con	ducted any procurement activities	on any	y of the following? (5c)
If YES, please	e mark at least one (1) then, answe	er the o	question below.
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
~	Computer Monitors, Desktop	1	Paints and Varnishes
	Computers and Laptops		
	Computers and Eaptops	7	Food and Catering Services
	Air Conditions	Ľ	Food and Catering Services
L	Air Conditioners		T-1-1 F991 (11-1-1-0)
		Ý	Training Facilities / Hotels / Venues
$\checkmark$	Vehicles		
			Toilets and Urinals
	Fridges and Freezers		
	-	1	Textiles / Uniforms and Work Clothes
1	Copiers		
1			
Do you use qu	een technical specifications for the	procu	rement activity/ies of the non-CSE item/s?
,			1
	Yes	П	No
ш			
Q In determinin	a whether you provide up to date r	STOCUE	ement information easily accessible at no cost, which of
	is is/are met? (7a)	Journ	contain anomation casily accessible at no cost, winding
oonanona			
	Agency has a working website		
Ľ		nar '	
	please provide link: http://jhmc.c	com.pl	TV
7	Procurement information is up-to-	-date	

7	Information is easily accessible at no cost
	g with the preparation, posting and submission of your agency's Procurement Monitoring Report, conditions is/are met? (7b)
	Agency prepares the PMRs
~	PMRs are promptly submitted to the GPPB  please provide submission dates: 1st Sem2nd Sem27-Mar-18
~	PMRs are posted in the agency website  please provide link: <a href="https://www.jhmc.com.ph/wp-content/uploads/PMR-for-CY-2017.pdf">https://www.jhmc.com.ph/wp-content/uploads/PMR-for-CY-2017.pdf</a>
✓	PMRs are prepared using the prescribed format
	of procurement activities to achieve desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe, conditions is/are met? (8c)
✓	There is an established procedure for needs analysis and/or market research
7	There is a system to monitor timely delivery of goods, works, and consulting services
✓	Agency complies with the thresholds prescribed for amendment to order, variation orders, and contract extensions, if any, in competitively bid contracts
12. In evaluatir	g the performance of your procurement personnel, which of these conditions is/are present? (10a)
☑	Personnel roles, duties and responsibilities involving procurement are included in their individual performance commitment/s
7	Procuring entity communicates standards of evaluation to procurement personnel
7	Procuring entity and procurement personnel acts on the results and takes corresponding action
	ne following procurement personnel have participated in any procurement training and/or professionalization program three (3) years? (10b)
	Date of most recent training: 06/05/2017
	Head of Procuring Entity (HOPE)
7	Bids and Awards Committee (BAC)
7	BAC Secretariat/ Procurement/ Supply Unit
7	BAC Technical Working Group
V	End-user Unit/s
✓	Other staff
14. Which of the procuring entity	e following is/are practised in order to ensure the private sector access to the procurement opportunities of the (10c)
	Forum, dialogues, meetings and the like (apart from pre-bid conferences) are conducted for all prospective bidders at least once a year
<b>✓</b>	The PE promptly responds to all interested prospective bidders' inquiries and concerns, with available facilities and various communication channels

15. In determining whether the BAC Secretariat has a system for keeping and maintaining procurement records,

which of these conditions is/are present? (11a)

	~	There is a list of procurement related documents that are maintained for a period of at least five years
	7	The documents are kept in a duly designated and secure location with hard copies kept in appropriate filing cabinets and electronic copies in dedicated computers
	7	The documents are properly filed, segregated, easy to retrieve and accessible to authorized users and audit personnel
		ng whether the Implementing Units has a system for keeping and maintaining procurement records, onditions is/are present? (11b)
	☑	There is a list of contract management related documents that are maintained for a period of at least five years
	☑	The documents are kept in a duly designated and secure location with hard copies kept in appropriate filing cabinets and electronic copies in dedicated computers
	☑	The documents are properly filed, segregated, easy to retrieve and accessible to authorized users and audit personnel
		ng if the agency has defined procedures or standards for quality control, acceptance and inspection and services, which of these conditions is/are present? (12a)
	<b>4</b>	Agency has written procedures for quality control, acceptance and inspection of goods, services and works
Have y	ou pro	cured Infrastructure projects through any mode of procurement for the past year?
	7	Yes No
If YE	ES, plea	ase answer the following:
	<b>7</b>	Supervision of civil works is carried out by qualified construction supervisors  Name of Civil Works Supervisor: ENGR. MANUEL SERENO JR. and ENGR. FERDINAND FIGUERRES
	☑	Agency implements CPES for its works projects and uses results to check contractors' qualifications (applicable for works only)  Name of CPES Evaluator: ARNEL V. FORTUNADO
	_	Il it take for your agency to release the final payment to your supplier/service provider or contractor/consultant, once complete? (12b) days
19.When	A. El B. Si C. Pi D. Pi E. Bi	g Observers for the following procurement activities, which of these conditions is/are met? (13a) igibility Checking (For Consulting Services Only) nortlisting (For Consulting Services Only) re-bid conference reliminary examination of bids d evaluation ost-qualification
	1	Observers are invited to attend stages of procurement as prescribed in the IRR
	7	Observers are allowed access to and be provided documents, free of charge, as stated in the IRR
	~	Observer reports, if any, are promptly acted upon by the procuring entity

	nd operating your Internal Audit Unit (IAU) that performs ditions were present? (14a)	s specialized procurement audits,	
$\overline{\mathbf{Y}}$	Creation of Internal Audit Unit (IAU) in the agency Agency Order/DBM Approval of IAU position/s:	Internal Audit Office	_
~	Conduct of audit of procurement processes and transa	ctions by the IAU within the last three years	
7	Internal audit recommendations on procurement-relate of the internal auditor's report	d matters are implemented within 6 months of the subm	ission
21. Are COA rec report? (14b)	ommendations responded to or implemented within six	months of the submission of the auditors'	
<b>7</b>	Yes (percentage of COA recommendations responded%	to or implemented within six months)	
	No procurement related recommendations received		
	ng whether the Procuring Entity has an efficient procurer rocedural requirements, which of conditions is/are presented.		
$\overline{\checkmark}$	The HOPE resolved Protests within seven (7) calendar	days per Section 55 of the IRR	
	The BAC resolved Requests for Reconsideration within	seven (7) calendar days per Section 55 of the IRR	
✓	Procuring entity acts upon and adopts specific measur referrals, subpoenas by the Omb, COA, GPPB or any		
23. In determining conditions is/are	ng whether agency has a specific anti-corruption program present? (16a)	n/s related to procurement, which of these	
	Agency has a specific office responsible for the implementation	nentation of good governance programs	
<b>7</b>	Agency implements a specific good governance progra	am including anti-corruption and integrity development	
✓	Agency implements specific policies and procedures in	place for detection and prevention of corruption	
			Back to "how to fill up"



No. Assessment Conditions	Poor/Not Compliant (0)	Acceptable (1)	Satisfactory (2)	Very Setisfactory/Compliant (3)		
PILIAR I. LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK						
Indicator 1. Competitive Bidding as Default Method of Procurement						
Percentage of competitive bidding and limited source bidding contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	Below 70,00%	Between 70.00-80.99%	Between 81.00-90.99%	Between 91.00-100%		
2 Percentage of competitive bidding and limited source bidding contracts in	Below 20.00%	Between 20.00-39.99%	Between 40.00-50.00%	Above 50.00%		
terms of volume of total procurement	THE PARTY OF THE P	Detreed 2000 32337	Data est 40.00-30.00/2	Above 300007		
ndicator 2. Limited Use of Alternative Methods of Procurement						
Percentage of shopping contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	Above 7.00%	Between 6.00-7.00 %	Between 4.00-5.99 %	Below 4.00%		
Percentage of snopping contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	ABOVE 7.0076	Between 6.00-7.00 /s	Between 4.00-3.35 %	Below 4.0076		
4 Percentage of negotiated contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	Above 15.00%	Between 11.00 -15.00%	Between 6.00-10.99%	Below 6.00%		
5 Percentage of direct contracting in terms of amount of total procurement	Above 4.00%	Patrice 2 00 4 00%	Patrice 1 00 2 00%	Palauri 200V		
5 Percentage of direct contracting in terms of amount of total procurement	ADOVE 4.00%	Between 3.00-4.00%	Between 1.00-2.99%	Below 1.00%		
6 Percentage of repeat order contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	Above 4.00%	Between 3.00-4.00%	Between 1.00-2.99%	Below 1.00%		
7 Compliance with Repeat Order procedures	Not Compliant	<del> </del>		Compliant		
8 Compliance with Limited Source Bidding procedures	Not Compliant			Compliant		
ndicator 3. Competitiveness of the Bidding Process	Below 3.00	3.00-3.99	4.00-5.99	6.00 and above		
9 Average number of entities who acquired bidding documents 10 Average number of bidders who submitted bids	Below 2.00	2.00-2.99	3.00-4.99	5.00 and above		
12 Average number of bidders who passed eligibility stage	Below 1.00	1.00 - 1.99	2.00-2.99	3.00 and above		
12 Sufficiency of period to prepare bids	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant		
Use of proper and effective procurement documentation and technical	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant		
specifications/requirements			L			
ILLAR II. AGENCY INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK AND MANAGEMENT CAPACITY						
dicator 4. Presence of Procurement Organizations						
4 Creation of Bids and Awards Committee(s) 15 Presence of a BAC Secretariat or Procurement Unit	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant		
13 Presence of a BAC Secretariat or Procurement Unit	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant		
dicator 5. Procurement Planning and Implementation						
16 An approved APP that includes all types of procurement	Not Compliant			Compliant		
Preparation of Annual Procurement Plan for Common-Use Supplies and						
17 Equipment (APP-CSE) and Procurement of Common-Use Supplies and	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant		
Equipment from the Procurement Service				24 22 4		
18 Existing Green Specifications for GPPB-identified non-CSE items are adopted	Not Compliant			Compliant		
dicator 6. Use of Government Electronic Procurement System			T			
Percentage of bid opportunities posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency	Below 70.99%	Between 71.00-80.99%	Between 81.00-90.99%	Above 91.00%		
Percentage of contract award information posted by the PhilGEPS-registered	- 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		41 40 000		
Agency	Below 20.00%	Between 20.00- 50.99%	Between 51.00-80.00%	Above 80.00%		
Percentage of contract awards procured through alternative methods posted	Below 20.00%	Between 20.00 - 50.99%	Between 51.00-80.00%	Above 80.00%		
by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency			L			
dicator 7. System for Disseminating and Monitoring Procurement Information						
Processes of undertextext acquides up to date procurement information excitu	Not Compliant	Dodielle Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant		
accessible at no cost	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Pally Compilant		
Preparation of Procurement Monitoring Reports using the GPPB-prescribed	Not Complete	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant		
format, submission to the GPPB, and posting in agency website	Not Compliant	Partially Compilant	Substantiany Compilant	runy compnant		
dicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes A Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year	Below 40.00% or above 100.00%	Between 40.00-60.99%	Between 61.00% -80.00%	Above 80.00%		
against total amount in the approved APPs Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of		Between 40.00-60.99% Between 90.00-92.99%	Between 61.00% -80.00%  Between 93.00-95.00%	Above 80.00% Above 95.00%		
dicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes  Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs  Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding	Below 40.00% or above 100.00% Below 90.00%			Above 95.00%		
dicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes 4. Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs 25. Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding 6. Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and						
dicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes 24 Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs 25 Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding	Below 90.00%	Between 90.00-92.99%	Between 93.00-95.00%	Above 95.00%		
dicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes  Applications of Contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs  Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding  Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe  dicator 9. Compliance with Procurement Timeframes	Below 90.00%	Between 90.00-92.99%	Between 93.00-95.00%	Above 95.00%		
dicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes  A Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs  Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding  Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe  dicator 9. Compliance with Procurement Timeframes  Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to	Below 90.00%	Between 90.00-92.99%	Between 93.00-95.00%	Above 95.00%		
dicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes    Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year   against total amount in the approved APPs   Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of   procurement projects done through competitive bidding   Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and   objectives within the target/allotted timeframe    dicator 9. Compliance with Procurement Timeframes   Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to   procure goods	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100%		
dicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes    Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year   against total amount in the approved APPs   Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of   procurement projects done through competitive bidding   Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and   objectives within the target/allotted timeframe    dicator 9. Compliance with Procurement Timeframes   Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to   procure goods	Below 90.00% Not Compliant	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant		
Section 6. Efficiency of Procurement Processes   Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100%		
A percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs   Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding   Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant  100%  100%		
A Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant  100%  100%		
dicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes  Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs  Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding  Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe  dicator 9. Compliance with Procurement Timeframes  Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infestructure projects  Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infestructure projects  Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infestructure projects  Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infestructure projects  Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure consulting services  dicator 10. Capacity Building for Government Personnel and Private Sector Particip	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%	Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%	Above 95.00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%		
A compared to the amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs   Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding     Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe.     Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure goods	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant  100%  100%		
	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%	Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%	Above 95.00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%		
A percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs   Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding     Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe     Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure goods     Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects     Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure consulting services     Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure consulting services     Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure consulting services     Percentage of pontracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure consulting services     Percentage of pontracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure consulting services     Percentage of pontracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure consulting services	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Not Compliant	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant		
A Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs   Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding procurement projects done through competitive bidding	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Not Compliant	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant		
A percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs   Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding procurement projects done through competitive bidding objectives within the target/allotted timeframe   Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure goods	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Less than 60.00% Trained	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant  Between 91.00-100% Trained		
Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs   Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding procurement projects done through competitive bidding procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe   Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure goods	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Less than 60.00% Trained	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant  Between 91.00-100% Trained		
dicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes page	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Less than 60.00% Trained	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 60.00-75.99% Trained	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant  Between 76-90% of staff trained	Above 95,00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant  Between 91,00-100% Trained  Compliant		
Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Less than 60.00% Trained	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant  Between 91.00-100% Trained		
A Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs   35	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Less than 60.00% Trained  Not Compliant	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 60.00-75.99% Trained	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant  Between 76-90% of staff trained  Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant  Between 91.00-100% Trained  Compliant  Fully Compliant		
A Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Paints  Not Compliant  Less than 60.00% Trained  Not Compliant	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 60.00-75.99% Trained	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant  Between 76-90% of staff trained	Above 95.00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant  Between 91.00-100% Trained  Compliant		
A Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Less than 60.00% Trained  Not Compliant	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 60.00-75.99% Trained	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant  Between 76-90% of staff trained  Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant  Between 91.00-100% Trained  Compliant  Fully Compliant		
A Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Less than 60.00% Trained  Not Compliant	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 60.00-75.99% Trained	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant  Between 76-90% of staff trained  Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant  Between 91.00-100% Trained  Compliant  Fully Compliant		
Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Less than 60.00% Trained  Not Compliant  Not Compliant  Not Compliant	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 60.00-75.99% Trained  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant  Between 76-90% of staff trained  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant  Between 91.00-100% Trained  Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant		
Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs   Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding procurement projects done through competitive bidding procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe   Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infestructure projects   Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infestructure projects   Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infestructure projects   Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infestructure projects   Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure consulting services   Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infestructure projects   Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure consulting services   Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure entered period of action to procure on procure of procurement personnel on a regular basis   Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procurement personnel on a regular basis   Percentage of participation on a regular basis   Percentage of participation of procurement staff in procurement training and/or professionalization program   Percentage of participation of procurement staff in procurement training and/or professionalization program and/or professionaliz	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Less than 60.00% Trained  Not Compliant	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 60.00-75.99% Trained	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant  Between 76-90% of staff trained  Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant  Between 91.00-100% Trained  Compliant  Fully Compliant		
Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  To only in the second of the	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 60.00-75.99% Trained  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant  Between 76-90% of staff trained  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant  Between 91.00-100% Trained  Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant		
Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Less than 60.00% Trained  Not Compliant  Not Compliant  Not Compliant	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 60.00-75.99% Trained  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant  Between 76-90% of staff trained  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant	Above 95,00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant  Between 91,00-100% Trained  Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant		
A Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs Percentage of total amount in the approved APPs Percentage of total amount in the approved APPs Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe  Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure goods Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure goods Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infestructure projects Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure consulting services  dicator 10. Capacity Building for Government Personnel and Private Sector Perticipation of the procurement personnel on a regular basis Percentage of participation of procurement staff in procurement training and/or professionalization program  The procuring entity has open dialogue with private sector and ensures access to the procurement opportunities of the procuring entity  dicator 11. Management of Procurement and Contract Management Records  The BAC Secretariat has a system for keeping and maintaining procurement records  Implementing Units has and is implementing a system for keeping and maintaining complete and easily retrievable contract management records  Implementing Units has and is implementing a system for keeping and maintaining complete and easily retrievable contract management records  Implementing Units has and is implementing a system for keeping and maintaining complete and easily retrievable contract management records  Agency has defined procurement Procedures  Agency has defined procurement Contracts  Timely Payment of Procurement Contracts	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  To only in the second of the	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 60.00-75.99% Trained  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant  Between 76-90% of staff trained  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant	Above 95,00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant  Between 91,00-100% Trained  Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant		
Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  To only in the second of the	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 60.00-75.99% Trained  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant  Between 76-90% of staff trained  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant	Above 95,00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant  Between 91,00-100% Trained  Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant		
Agriculture	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Trained  Not Compliant  Not Compliant  Not Compliant  Not Compliant  Not Compliant  Not Compliant	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 60.00-75.99% Trained  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant  Between 38-45 days	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant  Between 76-90% of staff trained  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant  Between 31-37 days	Above 95.00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant  Between 91.00-100% Trained  Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  On or before 30 days		
Agriculture	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  To only in the second of the	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 60.00-75.99% Trained  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant  Between 76-90% of staff trained  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant	Above 95,00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant  Between 91,00-100% Trained  Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant		
A Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Trained  Not Compliant  Not Compliant  Not Compliant  Not Compliant  Not Compliant  Not Compliant	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 60.00-75.99% Trained  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant  Between 38-45 days	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant  Between 76-90% of staff trained  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant  Between 31-37 days	Above 95.00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant  Between 91.00-100% Trained  Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  On or before 30 days		
Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Trained  Not Compliant  Not Compliant  Not Compliant  Not Compliant  Not Compliant  Not Compliant	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 60.00-75.99% Trained  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant  Between 38-45 days	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant  Between 76-90% of staff trained  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant  Between 31-37 days	Above 95.00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant  Between 91.00-100% Trained  Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant		
Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Trained  Not Compliant  Not Compliant  Not Compliant  Not Compliant  Not Compliant  Not Compliant	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 60.00-75.99% Trained  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant  Between 38-45 days	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant  Between 76-90% of staff trained  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant  Between 31-37 days	Above 95.00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant  Between 91.00-100% Trained  Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  On or before 30 days		
A Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Tolant  Less than 60.00% Trained  Not Compliant	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 60.00-75.99% Trained  Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant  Between 76-90% of staff trained  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant  Between 91.00-100% Trained  Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  On or before 30 days  Fully Compliant		
Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  The second of t	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 60.00-75.99% Trained  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant  Between 76-90% of staff trained  Substantially Compliant	Above 95,00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant  Between 91.00-100% Trained  Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant		
A care and a contract of the	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Tolant  Less than 60.00% Trained  Not Compliant	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 60.00-75.99% Trained  Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant  Between 76-90% of staff trained  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant  Between 91.00-100% Trained  Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  On or before 30 days  Fully Compliant		
A captor 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes   A captor 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes   A captor 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes   A captor 8. A captor 8	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Tained  Not Compliant  After 45 days	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 60.00-75.99% Trained  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant  Between 38-45 days  Partially Compliant  Between 38-45 compliant  Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant  Between 76-90% of staff trained  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant  Between 31-37 days	Above 95,00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant  Between 91,00-100% Trained  Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  Fully Compliant  Above 90-100% compliant		
Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Tolant  Less than 60.00% Trained  Not Compliant	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 60.00-75.99% Trained  Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant  Between 76-90% of staff trained  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant	Above 95,00%  Fully Compliant  100%  100%  100%  Fully Compliant  Between 91,00-100% Trained  Compliant  Fully Compliant		
A percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs	Below 90.00%  Not Compliant  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Below 90.00%  Tained  Not Compliant  After 45 days	Between 90.00-92.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Between 90.00 to 95.99%  Partially Compliant  Between 60.00-75.99% Trained  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant  Partially Compliant  Between 38-45 days  Partially Compliant  Between 38-45 compliant  Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00%  Substantially Compliant  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Between 96.00 to 99.99%  Substantially Compliant  Between 76-90% of staff trained  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant  Substantially Compliant  Between 31-37 days	Above 90-100% compliant  Above 90-100% compliant  Above 90-100% compliance		

#### Annex D

#### PROCUREMENT CAPACITY DEVELOPMENT ACTION PLAN TEMPLATE

Name of Agency: JOHN HAY MANAGEMENT CORPORATION

Period: 2017

Sub-Indicators	Key Area for Development	Proposed Actions to Address Key Areas	Responsible Entity	Timetable	Resources Needed
1.a	Percentage of competitive bidding and limited source bidding contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	BAC to consolidate all procurable item falling under the same category for public bidding .	BAC Members and Secretariat	Prior to approval by the HOPE of the APP	Materials (i.e bond paper, ink), office equipment and manpower
1.b	Percentage of competitive bidding and limited source bidding contracts in terms of volume of total procurement	BAC to consolidate all procurable item falling under the same category for public bidding .	BAC Members and Secretariat	Prior to approval by the HOPE of the APP	Materials (i.e bond paper, ink), office equipment and manpower
2.a	Percentage of shopping contracts in terms of amount of total procurement				
2.b	Percentage of negotiated contracts in terms of amount of total procurement				
2.c	Percentage of direct contracting in terms of amount of total procurement				
2.d	Percentage of repeat order contracts in terms of amount of total procurement				
2.e	Compliance with Repeat Order procedures				
2.f	Compliance with Limited Source Bidding procedures				
3.a	Average number of entities who acquired bidding documents				
3.b	Average number of bidders who submitted bids				
3.c	Average number of bidders who passed eligibility stage				
3.d	Sufficiency of period to prepare bids				
3.e	Use of proper and effective procurement documentation and technical specifications/requirements				
4.a	Creation of Bids and Awards Committee(s)				
4.b	Presence of a BAC Secretariat or Procurement Unit				

Sub-Indicators	Key Area for Development	Proposed Actions to Address Key Areas	Responsible Entity	Timetable	Resources Needed
5.a	An approved APP that includes all types of procurement				
5.b	Preparation of Annual Procurement Plan for Common-Use Supplies and Equipment (APP-CSE) and Procurement of Common- Use Supplies and Equipment from the Procurement Service		v		
5.c	Existing Green Specifications for GPPB-identified non-CSE items are adopted				
6.a	Percentage of bid opportunities posted by the PhilGEPS- registered Agency				
6.b	Percentage of contract award information posted by the PhilGEPS- registered Agency				1
6.c	Percentage of contract awards procured through alternative methods posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency		11 Land 1		
7.a	Presence of website that provides up-to-date procurement information easily accessible at no cost				
7.b	Preparation of Procurement Monitoring Reports using the GPPB- prescribed format, submission to the GPPB, and posting in agency website				
8.b	Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding		Y		
8.c	Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe				1
9.a	Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure goods				
9.b	Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects				
9.c	Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure consulting services				
10.a	There is a system within the procuring entity to evaluate the performance of procurement personnel on a regular basis			2	
10.b	Percentage of participation of procurement staff in procurement training and/or professionalization program				
10.c	The procuring entity has open dialogue with private sector and ensures access to the procurement opportunities of the procuring entity				
11.a	The BAC Secretariat has a system for keeping and maintaining procurement records				

1		[D	1		
sub-indicators		Proposed Actions to Address Key Areas	Responsible Entity	Timetable	Resources Needed
11.b	Implementing Units has and is implementing a system for keeping and maintaining complete and easily retrievable contract management records				
12.a	Agency has defined procedures or standards in such areas as quality control, acceptance and inspection, supervision of works and evaluation of contractors' performance				
12.b	Timely Payment of Procurement Contracts				
13.a	Observers are invited to attend stages of procurement as prescribed in the IRR				
14.a	Creation and operation of Internal Audit Unit (IAU) that performs specialized procurement audits				
14.b	Audit Reports on procurement related transactions				
15.a	The Procuring Entity has an efficient procurement complaints system and has the capacity to comply with procedural requirements		8	1	
16.a	Agency has a specific anti-corruption program/s related to procurement				